

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,667	03/25/2004	Jens Feierabend	P06104US2	6213
34/882 7590 69/14/2008 ZARLEY LAW PIEM P.L.C. CAPITAL SQUARE 400 LOCUST, SUITE 200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			EXAMINER	
			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/808,667 FEIERABEND, JENS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MELVIN A. CARTAGENA 3754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22.25.27.28.30 and 32-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22.25.27.28.30.32.33 and 38-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 34-37 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_

Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/808,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 14, 2008 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 22, 25, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33 and 38-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,095,381 to Schwanenberg in view of US 6,089,411 to Baudin et al.

Schwanenberg shows a self-closing valve for dispensing flowable material as seen in Figs. 13 and 14, a curved membrane 5, a support segment 26 substantially in the same plane as the annular section 24, a connecting wall 4, star-shaped slits 6 and 7, a reinforcement ring 31 with openings 30, the self-closing valve is made of silicon or an elastomeric plastic material, see column 8 lines 22-25. Schwanenberg is silent about the membrane 5 having a constant thickness. Baudin shows a valve member 44, seen in Fig. 4, having a membrane 42a of constant thickness. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3754

made to modify the valve member of Schwanenberg to be of constant thickness to facilitate manufacture or the membrane as taught by Baudin.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 34-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the support segment of the membrane is disposed with an annular section arranged substantially in a common plate with the support segment, or on a plane parallel to the same. In the device of Schwanenberg the annular section 24 is in the same plane or in a parallel plane with the support segment 26, see Figs. 13 and 14. With respect to applicant's arguments that the angle between the folding connecting walls is acute not obtuse, see Schwanenberg Fig. 13, which is identical as the applicant's Fig. 1 and shows the same angle between the folding connecting walls. In response to applicant's arguments with respect to the number of slits in the valve, see that Schwanenberg comprises up to five slits; In addition, the slit valve of Schwanenberg does not open as the membrane moves from the resting position shown in Fig. 13 to the extended position shown in Fig. 14; the shape and position of the valve with respect to the connecting wall remains unchanged; Therefore, there is substantially none or minimal torque transferred from the connecting wall to the membrane

In response to applicant's argument that Schwanenberg fail to show the valve slits configured in a star shape and with the same angular spacing, note that the rejected claims only Application/Control Number: 10/808,667

Art Unit: 3754

required the slits to be "preferably configured as to be star-shaped" and "preferably arranged at the same angular spacing" in the same manner the slits of the valve of Schwanenberg are.

#### Conclusion

6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.
Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case.
See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELVIN A. CARTAGENA whose telephone number is (571)272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754